

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/656,452	09/05/2003	Mark Ellsberry	44223-0100	8437
75	90 06/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Joseph W. Price			CHU, CHRIS C	
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1920 Main Street			2815	
Irvine, CA 92614-7230			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/656,452	ELLSBERRY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Chris C. Chu	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 26</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	I P				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
TT) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
C. Retont and Tondomark Office		 -			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: on page 14, line 8 of the specification refers to a plurality of underside electrical interconnects "508" which is not referenced in the figures. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: the reference numbers "405" in Fig. 3, "400" in Fig. 4, "503" in Fig. 5 are not disclosed in the specification of instant invention. Furthermore, the reference number "405" in Fig. 3 needs a line to point an element in the figure. Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement

Application/Control Number: 10/656,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version

of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should

be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to

obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the

examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in

the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s),

applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including

annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy

must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and must be presented in

the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37

CFR 1.121(d). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will

result in the abandonment of the application.

Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. On page 12, line 5, "solder balls 306" [sic: solder balls 308].
 - b. On page 12, line 17, "pads 308" [sic: pads 306].
 - c. On page 14, line 13, "602 612" [sic: 602, 604, 606, 608, 610 and 612].
 - d. On page 16, lines 3 5, "701" [sic: 701a-d].

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,452 Page 4

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1 6, 8, 9, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kelly et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,798,567).

Regarding claim 1, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 a chip-scale package comprising:

- a substrate (43) having a first surface and an opposite second surface, the substrate composed of a controlled thermal expansion material;
- a memory die (41) mounted on the first surface of the substrate using a plurality of rigid underside coupling members (45), the substrate having a coefficient of expansion that substantially matches a coefficient of expansion of the memory die;
- a plurality of solder balls (49) mounted on the first surface of the substrate in a ball grid array configuration, at least one of the solder balls electrically coupled to at least one of the underside coupling members;

Art Unit: 2815

- a plurality of pads (the pads under the element 47) coupled to the second surface of the substrate, each pad electrically coupled to one or more of the plurality of solder balls in a staggered routing scheme; and

- one or more electronic components (47) mounted on the second surface of the substrate in an area substantially opposite of the memory die, wherein the combined distance that an electronic component and the memory die protrude from the substrate is less than the distance that a solder ball and pad protrude from the substrate.

Regarding claim 2, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 electrically conductive traces on the first surface to electrically couple at least one solder ball to the memory die.

Regarding claim 3, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 the substrate (43) including a controlled thermal expansion material that "sufficiently" matches the coefficient of expansion of the memory die.

Regarding claim 4, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 – 31 the underside coupling members (45) permit the underside surface of the memory die to be substantially exposed.

Regarding claim 5, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 a chip-scale package comprising:

- a substrate (43) having a first surface and an opposite second surface;
- a semiconductor device (41) mounted on the first surface of the substrate using solder balls;

Art Unit: 2815

- a plurality of solder balls (49) mounted on the first surface of the substrate in a ball grid array configuration, at least one of the solder balls electrically coupled to the semiconductor device;

- a plurality of pads (the pads under the element 47) coupled to the second surface of the substrate, each pad electrically coupled to one or more of the plurality of solder balls in a staggered routing scheme; and
- one or more electrical components (47) mounted on the second surface of the substrate.

Regarding claim 6, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 the electrical components (47) being mounted on the second surface of the substrate in an area substantially opposite of the semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 8, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 the combined distance that an electrical component and the semiconductor device protrude from the substrate being less than the distance that a solder ball and pad protrude from the substrate.

Regarding claim 9, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 the substrate (43) including a controlled thermal expansion material with a coefficient of expansion that substantially matches the coefficient of expansion of the semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 11, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 electrically conductive traces on the first surface to electrically couple at least one solder ball to the semiconductor device.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 13, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 the semiconductor device (41) being coupled to the first surface using rigid underside coupling members (45).

Regarding claim 14, Kelly et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 4 and column 3, lines 23 - 31 the rigid underside coupling members (45) permitting the underside surface of the semiconductor device to be substantially exposed.

7. Claims 1-9, 11, and 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,597,062).

Regarding claim 1, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 a chip-scale package comprising:

- a substrate (52) having a first surface and an opposite second surface, the substrate composed of a controlled thermal expansion material (column 7, lines 8 21);
- a memory die (54a) mounted on the first surface of the substrate using a plurality of rigid underside coupling members (pads under the elements 54a), the substrate having a coefficient of expansion that substantially matches a coefficient of expansion of the memory die (column 7, lines 8 21);
- a plurality of solder balls (the solder balls in the 62a and 62b) mounted on the first surface of the substrate in a ball grid array configuration, at least one of the solder balls electrically coupled to at least one of the underside coupling members (column 7, lines 50 62);

Art Unit: 2815

- a plurality of pads (the pads under the elements 64a, 64b and 54b) coupled to the second surface of the substrate, each pad electrically coupled to one or more of the plurality of solder balls in a staggered routing scheme; and

- one or more electronic components (the elements 60 and 58 that are located at the same side as the element 54b) mounted on the second surface of the substrate in an area "substantially" opposite of the memory die, wherein the combined distance that an electronic component and the memory die protrude from the substrate is less than the distance that a solder ball and pad protrude from the substrate (column 7, lines 25 - 40).

Regarding claim 2, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 electrically conductive traces on the first surface to electrically couple at least one solder ball to the memory die (column 7, lines 50 - 62).

Regarding claim 3, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 the substrate (52) including a controlled thermal expansion material that "sufficiently" matches the coefficient of expansion of the memory die.

Regarding claims 4, 14, 19 and 26, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 the rigid underside coupling members (the pads under the elements 54a) permitting the underside surface of the semiconductor device to be substantially exposed.

Regarding claim 5, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 a chip-scale package comprising:

- a substrate (52) having a first surface and an opposite second surface;

Art Unit: 2815

a semiconductor device (54a) mounted on the first surface of the substrate using solder balls;

- a plurality of solder balls (since the elements 54a is BGA, column 6, line 51, the solder balls under the 54a) mounted on the first surface of the substrate in a ball grid array configuration, at least one of the solder balls electrically coupled to the semiconductor device (column 7, lines 50 62);
- a plurality of pads (the pads under the elements 64a, 64b and 54b) coupled to the second surface of the substrate, each pad electrically coupled to one or more of the plurality of solder balls in a staggered routing scheme; and
- one or more electrical components (the elements 60 and 58 that are located at the same side as the element 54b) mounted on the second surface of the substrate.

Regarding claim 6, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 the electrical components (the elements 60 and 58 that are located at the same side as the element 54b) being mounted on the second surface of the substrate in an area "substantially" opposite of the semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 7, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 the electrical components (the elements 60 and 58 that are located at the same side as the element 54b) including capacitors and resistors.

Regarding claim 8, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 the combined distance that an electrical component and the semiconductor device protrude from the substrate being less than the distance that a solder ball and pad protrude from the substrate.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 9, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 the substrate (52) including a controlled thermal expansion material with a coefficient of expansion that substantially matches the coefficient of expansion of the semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 11, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 electrically conductive traces on the first surface to electrically couple at least one solder ball to the semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 13, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 2a – 2c and column 6, line 36 – column 10, line 24 the semiconductor device (54a) being coupled to the first surface using rigid underside coupling members (the pads under the elements 54a).

Regarding claim 15, the claim further requires a stackable electronic assembly that comprising:

- a plurality of chip-scale packages.

Li et al. clearly shows in Fig. 3a a plurality of chip-scale packages (51a -c), the plurality of chip-scale packages arranged in a stacked configuration, each chip-scale package including (see rejection under claim 5) and wherein the combined distance that an electronic component and the semiconductor device protrude from the substrate is less than the distance that a solder ball and pad protrude from the substrate (See Fig. 3a).

Regarding claim 16, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 3b the plurality chip-scale packages having identical routing traces.

Regarding claim 17, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 3a and 3b the solder balls on the first surface of a first chip-scale package being coupled to the pads on the second surface of a second chip-scale package.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 18, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 3a and 3b the staggered routing scheme permits accessing the same underside coupling member in each of the chip-scale packages in a stack from a plurality of solder balls in a first chip-scale package.

Regarding claim 20, the claim further requires a memory module that comprising: a main substrate and one or more stacks of memory devices coupled to a first surface of the main substrate.

Li et al. clearly shows in Fig. 3a

- a main substrate (12) with an interface to couple the memory module to other devices; and
- one or more stacks of memory devices (51a c) coupled to a first surface of the main substrate,
 - o at least one stack of memory devices including (see rejection under claim 5).

Regarding claim 21, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 3a and column 10, line 25 – column 11, line 8

- the substrate (52) is composed of a controlled thermal expansion material, the substrate has a coefficient of expansion that substantially matches a coefficient of expansion of the memory semiconductor die (54a),
- the plurality of solder balls (the solder balls in the elements 62a and 62b) are mounted on the first surface of the substrate in a ball grid array configuration, and
- each chip-scale package further includes

Art Unit: 2815

- a plurality of pads (the pads under the elements 54b, 64a and 64b)
 coupled to the second surface of the substrate, each pad electrically
 coupled to one or more of the plurality of solder balls in a staggered
 routing scheme, and
- one or more electronic components (the elements 60 and 58 that are located at the same side as the element 54b) mounted on the second surface of the substrate in an area "substantially" opposite of the memory semiconductor die, wherein the combined distance that an electronic component and the memory semiconductor die protrude from the substrate is less than the distance that a solder ball and pad protrude from the substrate.

Regarding claim 22, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 3a and 3b the staggered routing scheme permitting accessing the same underside coupling members in all of the chip-scale packages in a stack from a plurality of solder balls in a first chip-scale package.

Regarding claim 23, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 3a and 3b the memory module being a dual inline memory module.

Regarding claim 24, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 3a one or more stacks of memory devices (54b) coupled to a second surface of the main substrate.

Regarding claim 25, Li et al. discloses in e.g., Figs. 3a, 3b and column 10, line 25 – column 11, line 8 the chip-scale packages being stacked with the solder balls (since the elements 62a/62b and 64a/64b are BGA, column 7, lines 50 - 62, the solder balls are located in the 62a/62b and 64a/64b) on the first surface of a chip-scale package (51b)

Art Unit: 2815

coupled (since the bus line 84 clearly shows the electrical connections between each one of the chip-scale packages in the stack, the packages are physically coupled to each other's pads) to pads on the second surface of another chip-scale package (51c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. in view of Hosomi (U. S. Pat. No. 6,740,981).

Li et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the semiconductor device being a silicon memory device (claims 10 and 12) and the substrate including a controlled thermal expansion material that sufficiently matches the coefficient of expansion of the silicon device (claim 10). Hosomi teaches in e.g., Fig. 5 and column 11, lines 24 - 25 a semiconductor device (57A; silicon chip) being a silicon memory device and a substrate (1) including a controlled thermal expansion material that "sufficiently" matches the coefficient of expansion of the silicon device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to modify Li et al. by using silicon as the material of the die and the material of the substrate being sufficiently matches the coefficient of expansion of the silicon device as taught by Hosomi. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Li et al. in the manner described

Art Unit: 2815

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vaiyapuri, Leong et al., Takahashi et al., Moden et al., Kyougoku et al., Senba et al., Miremadi et al., Taniguchi et al., Senba et al., Venkateshwaran et al., Moden et al., Isaak, Tokuno et al., Pinjala et al., Frankowsky et al., Leahy et al., Akram et al., Hsu et al., Farnworth et al., Glenn et al., Degani et al., Yanagida, Asada, Lee, Yew et al., Kasatani, Murayama et al., Murayama, and Matsuura disclose semiconductor module with stacked semiconductor devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris C. Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-1724. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:30 - 8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 517-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 2815

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chris C. Chu Examiner Art Unit 2815

c.c. Jun. 23, 04

> TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800